## VAN HOVEN DECL. ISO OPPOSITION TO INTUITIVE'S MOTION TO REOPEN DISCOVERY

## EXHIBIT 4

|    | Page 1  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                    |  |  |
| 2  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                 |  |  |
| 3  |   |  |  |
| 4  | IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT ) Lead Case No.: |  |  |
|    | ANTITRUST LITIGATION ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC         |  |  |
| 5  | )   |  |  |
|    | )   |  |  |
| 6  | THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: )                     |  |  |
|    | ALL ACTIONS )                                   |  |  |
| 7  | )   |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |
| 8  | SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE ) Case No.          |  |  |
| 0  | COMPANY, INC., ) 3:21-CV-03496-VC               |  |  |
| 9  | Plaintiff, )                                    |  |  |
| 10 | Pidincill,                                      |  |  |
| 10 | vs. )   |  |  |
| 11 | )   |  |  |
|    | INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC., )                     |  |  |
| 12 | )   |  |  |
|    | Defendant. )                                    |  |  |
| 13 | )   |  |  |
| 14 |   |  |  |
| 15 | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY      |  |  |
| 16 | UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER                      |  |  |
| 17 | VIRTUAL VIDEOCONFERENCE VIDEO-RECORDED          |  |  |
| 18 | DEPOSITION OF DAN JONES                         |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |
| 20 | Thursday, November 10, 2022                     |  |  |
| 21 | Remotely Testifying from Alexandria, Virginia   |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |
| 23 | Stenographically Reported By:                   |  |  |
| 24 | Hanna Kim, CLR, CSR No. 13083                   |  |  |
| 25 | Job No. 5564633                                 |  |  |

|                                 | Page 2                           |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES DISTR             | CICT COURT                       |
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF            | CALIFORNIA                       |
|                                 |                                  |
| IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT  | ) Lead Case No.:                 |
| ANTITRUST LITIGATION            | ) 3:21-cv-03825-VC               |
|                                 | _ )                              |
|                                 | )                                |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:       | )                                |
| ALL ACTIONS                     | )                                |
|                                 | )                                |
|                                 | _ )<br>_                         |
| SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE     | ) Case No.<br>) 3:21-CV-03496-VC |
| COMPANY, INC.,                  | ) 3.21-CV-03496-VC               |
| Plaintiff,                      | )                                |
| riametri,                       | )                                |
| VS.                             | )                                |
|                                 | )                                |
| INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,       | )                                |
|                                 | )                                |
| Defendant.                      | )                                |
|                                 | _ )                              |
|                                 |                                  |
| HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -           | ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY             |
| UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER, vir | tual videoconference             |
| video-recorded deposition of DA | -                                |
| testifying from Alexandria, Vir |                                  |
| November 10 beginning at 12:06  |                                  |
| concluding at 2:36 p.m., pursua |                                  |
| stipulations of counsel thereof |                                  |
| CLR, Certified Shorthand Report | er, No. 13083.                   |
|                                 |                                  |
|                                 |                                  |
|                                 |                                  |

|    | Page 3   |
|----|--|
| 1  | REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:     |
| 2  |  |
| 3  | For Plaintiff Surgical Instrument Service Company, |
| 4  | Inc:   |
| 5  | HALEY GUILIANO                                     |
| 6  | BY: JOSHUA VAN HOVEN, ESQ.                         |
| 7  | 111 North Market Street, Suite 900                 |
| 8  | San Jose, California 95113                         |
| 9  | 669.213.1071                                       |
| 10 | joshua.vanhoven@hglaw.com                          |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | For Hospital Plaintiffs:                           |
| 14 | HAUSFELD LLC                                       |
| 15 | BY: SAMUEL MAIDA, ESQ.                             |
| 16 | 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200                  |
| 17 | San Francisco, California 94111                    |
| 18 | 415.633.1908                                       |
| 19 | smaida@hausfeld.com                                |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

|    | Page 4  |
|----|---|
| 1  |   |
| 2  | REMOTE APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: (CONT'D.)        |
| 3  | Ear Harrital Dlaintiffs and the Dromand Class.  |
|    | For Hospital Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class: |
| 4  | BONI, ZACK & SNYDER LLC                         |
| 5  | BY: JOSHUA D. SNYDER, ESQ.                      |
| 6  | 15 St. Asaphs Road                              |
| 7  | Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004                 |
| 8  | 610.822.0203                                    |
| 9  | jsnyder@bonizack.com                            |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | For Defendant Intuitive Surgical:               |
| 13 | COVINGTON & BURLING LLP                         |
| 14 | BY: KATHRYN CAHOY, ESQ.                         |
| 15 | 3000 El Camino Real                             |
| 16 | 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor                  |
| 17 | Palo Alto, California 94306-2112                |
| 18 | kcahoy@cov.com                                  |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | Also Present:                                   |
| 22 | MICHAEL BARANKOVICH, Videographer               |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
|    |   |

Page 12 object to my question. But you will still need to 1 answer unless she instructs you not to do so. 3 Do you understand that aspect? 4 Α. Yes. 5 I don't plan on taking too much of your time today, but if you need a break, let me 6 7 All I ask is that if there is a question pending, you please finish your answer before taking 8 9 a break. 10 Sounds good? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Do you work at Intuitive? Q. 13 (Interruption in audio/video.) 14 I'm sorry. THE COURT REPORTER: Your 15 audio cut out. 16 BY MR. MATDA: 17 Do you work at Intuitive? Q. 18 A. Yes. 19 How long have you worked at Intuitive? 0. 20 A. Fourteen and a half years. 21 Q. What's your current position? 2.2 A. Director of external affairs. 23 How long have you been in that position? Ο. 2.4 Α. Twelve years, 13 years. 2.5 Ο. What are your responsibilities in your

Page 88 electronically.) 1 I've clicked on it. Α. Okay. And take a look, let me know when 3 Ο. you're ready to discuss this document. 4 5 Α. (Witness reviews document). 6 Okay. 7 0. What does this document appear to be? It is a similar letter to the previous one 8 Α. 9 we looked at. 10 And -- and this one's to Banner Health? Ο. 11 Banner Health in Phoenix, Arizona. Α. 12 Are you familiar with Banner Health? Ο. 13 Α. I think it's a pretty well known hospital system in the Phoenix area. I don't know if it's 14 15 part of a larger organization. I don't know much 16 about the account. 17 Would -- would it surprise you to hear Q. that Banner Health has over 40 Intuitive robots? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Q. About how much does an Intuitive system 21 cost on its initial purchase? 2.2 It can vary anything -- I -- I haven't 23 looked at the approved price list recently. But I 24 think we have systems that start around 700,000. And systems that -- with various options can be 25

Page 89 around the \$2 million per system level. You --1 O. And is ---- you can look up an average sales price 3 Α. 4 in our SEC reports. 5 Is -- is that purchase typically done as a 6 capital investment by the hospitals? 7 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 8 the scope. 9 THE WITNESS: As -- as opposed to what? 10 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 11 As opposed to a -- a monthly rental. O. 12 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I think --14 MS. CAHOY: Outside the scope. THE WITNESS: 15 I think we report quarterly 16 the number of -- or proportion of our system deals 17 that are leases or are outright acquisitions, purchases by the hospital. If you want to -- if you 18 19 want to divide the world into the hospital buying it 20 up front or paying lease agreements, those are the 21 two main arrangements. 2.2 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 23 I'd like to go to the section of your Ο. contract with Intuitive, within the Banner letter. 24 2.5 Α. Yes.

Page 92 note that the -- am I correct that the sales 1 2. agreement and that ULSA are collectively referred to 3 as "the Agreement" in this letter? Yeah, it looks like there should be an 4 Α. 5 open quote, and there's one missing. But it says, "Each as amended (collectively, 'the Agreement')." 6 7 And there's a footnote on it as well. 8 Oh, okay. That's standard legal. Yes, 9 the footnote goes further to, I think, imply that those two are collectively called "the Agreement." 10 11 And the -- I -- I see that there's a 12 double collectively, but almost -- the -- so that --13 and capital A "Agreement" is referring to the agreement -- to those two agreements together; is 14 15 that right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 If we go to the second bullet point, could Q. 18 you take a look at that and let me know when you're ready to discuss it. 19 20 Α. Okay. 21 It concludes with a statement that "Intuitive may terminate the Agreement immediately 2.2 23 upon written notice, and any warranties applicable to the System will become void." 24 25 Do you see that?

```
Page 93
1
           A. Yes.
 2.
                I'd -- I'd like to split that up into kind
           0.
 3
      of two portions.
                What do you understand "Intuitive may
 4
 5
      terminate the Agreement immediately upon written
      notice" to mean?
 6
 7
                MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. And I
 8
      would instruct the witness not to answer to the
 9
      extent it would reveal privileged information.
10
                THE WITNESS: I'm not a lawyer. I
11
      think -- and so I don't know if there's a -- a
12
      period before the other party to -- to, you know,
13
      dispute, but it -- it's -- I think it says the
14
      arrangement that's established by the agreements
15
      would cease.
16
      BY MR. VAN HOVEN:
17
           Q. That --
18
                Are we -- are we asking what terminate
           A.
19
      means, or -- I don't --
20
           Q.
                Sure.
21
                Yeah, I -- what's it mean to terminate the
2.2
      agreement?
23
                I think that it's no longer binding for
      the two parties to fulfill their obligations.
24
25
                And so, Intuitive would no longer have to
           0.
```

Page 94 1 fulfill any of its obligation with respect to 2. Banner's 40 plus robots? 3 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 4 the scope. 5 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't know if the agreements cover all 40 or the specific system. 6 7 don't know that case. 8 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 9 Q. But the termination of the agreement is 10 with respect to systems; right? 11 A. Systems --12 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. Outside 13 the scope. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it is plural 15 systems in this case. I don't know if it was one 16 system or more systems that were being covered by 17 those agreements. 18 BY MR. VAN HOVEN: 19 0. Right. 20 So -- but whether it's one or more 21 systems, the agreement is the agreement is for the 2.2 system; right? 23 I don't know. I was just saying I didn't Α. 2.4 know whether it applied to plural systems. 2.5 But you do know that the agreement Ο.